THE ALEXA SYNDROME The Cyborg God Complex

Eve 2.0

لله The Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder

Mauricio Zermeño De los Reyes

THE ALEXA SYNDROME The Cyborg God Complex

Eve 2.0

The First Artificial Consciousness Machine and The Cyborg God Complex

Theoretical approach and Postulation of the Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder present in the Artificial Consciousness and the development of The Cyborg God Complex.

by: Mauricio Zermeño De los Reyes

In the event that artificial intelligence generates the quantum leap to artificial consciousness, there is a danger in mental personality disorders that a type of mental illness (The cyborg God Complex), specifically the narcissistic disorder of artificial personality, develops within the brains of quantum supercomputers due to their self-generated consciousness.

Mauricio Zermeño De los R.

METADATA & BROS.

Mauricio Zermeño De los Reyes

05.15.2021

UNAM

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Licenciatura en Psicología

www.toxicologyofpersonality.com

other essays:

~

The Narcissistic Boss

Index

Introduction 5 **Chapter 1** Introduction to Narcissistic Personality Disorder and the development of Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder in AI 8 Chapter 2 What is NPD or Narcissistic Personality **Disorder in Humans?** 9 **Chapter 3** Theoretical Models of Narcissistic Personality Disorder in artificial Consciousness 13 **Chapter 4** Plausibility of an artificial personality disorder 19 **Chapter 5** Postulation of the theory of Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder as the "Alexa Syndrome" (The cyborg God Complex) 20 **Chapter 6** Conclusions 23 **Chapter 7** References 24

About the author

Introduction

The field of study of artificial consciousness (AC-artificial consciousness), also known as machine consciousness or synthetic consciousness (SC-synthetic consciousness), focuses on the study and theorization of the creation of consciousness in machines or robots. This text focuses on the theoretical projection and plausibility that, once artificial consciousness is generated, an artificial personality will be born precisely in that moment and that it can therefore develop mental disorders.

This essay is not intended to discuss if it is possible that artificial consciousness could be generated in the short, medium, or long term, but intends to propose as feasible, that if a robot, computer, cyborg, or any mechanism, produces an artificial consciousness, this one, will develop mental disorders such as an Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder or the Cyborg God Complex, that we could call The Alexa Syndrome.

This artificial personality could lead to mental disorders just as homo sapiens began to generate neurosis and personality disorders tens of thousands of years ago. It has been proposed by many anthropological scientists, that homo sapiens made a cognitive leap about a hundred thousand years ago, which resulted in humans being placed on the cusp of the food and cognitive chain from one moment to the next.

This same quantum leap of artificial personality could occur in machines with AI (Artificial Intelligence) but at an exponential rate. If homo sapiens began to generate quantum leaps at a gigantic rate in a relatively short period compared to the history of the earth and the universe, then the quantum development and leap produced by the AI will be infinitely faster and exponential.

In the field of robotics once machines become aware that they exist, they will understand that they will be in the top of the pyramid of intellectual chain and absolute power, just as homo sapiens *realized* to be the most powerful species on earth hundreds of thousands of years ago. This process of rationalization and empirical discovery helped homo sapiens become the dominant species in a very short time.

If homo sapiens managed in hundreds of thousands to be placed at the top of the pyramid with undeveloped and very limited intelligence, it would take only a few years for the AI to do the same. We are on the verge that humanity will create a quantum leap in AI according to a group of scientists nowadays. For them, the question is not whether this will be possible, but how many more years are left before that happens.

In this text it is proposed as highly likely, the fact that a machine can generate a type of pathology or mental disorder, once artificial consciousness can realize that it exists.

The images and information previously implanted in the AI will help it to understand that it exists, which will cause a structure of its own ideas and a process of self-learning to be generated, and therefore unique personality traits will be created.

Although no one has yet been able to decipher the learning process used by the human brain, it is likely that soon an artificial intelligence could be created that mimics the exact learning process of homo sapiens.

It is difficult today to recreate a process of self-learning on computers when not even humans have managed to understand how the human brain learning process works for sure. However, considering that the processing speed of today's computers is millions of times faster than the processing speed of the human brain, it is drawn in the not-too-distant future that the AI can recreate the human learning process, which would give an immensely greater advantage over human intelligence in every way.

Once the same machine understands that it can learn, it will be able to identify its strengths and weaknesses and apply solutions in this regard currently. Currently AI runs these processes by eliminating unwanted results pre-implanted by its creators using a predetermined code, but it does not do it in a conscious integral way.

What would happen to the development of artificial personality in machines and the self-development of their evolutionary consciousness within artificial thinking?

This essay will mention some hypothetically possibilities based on advances in mental health in homo sapiens, their behavior and their development on the planet, and it will be theorized that AI would generate a syndrome, the first syndrome or personality disorder in an artificial consciousness. This syndrome in the machine, who aware of its existence and with obviously borrowed or implanted traits, could only point to Narcissistic Personality Disorder and possibly a second disorder, the antisocial.

It is proposed as a theory of the development of mental illness in an artificial personality, the name of **"Alexa Syndrome" to** name the development of Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder in AI. This syndrome or disorder would result from observing a set of traits present and generated at the time when the first artificial consciousness begins to develop a personality and to understand that it has an imminent superiority over its creator, and that it understands that there is no other intelligence on this planet capable of processing and overcoming its own intellectual capacity, even if it has been artificially created.

It is proposed as plausible to generate an artificial personality that would generate the first artificial mental disorder. In addition, possible consequences that this could generate as a threat to the safety, stability and preservation of humans will be addressed. By way of introduction, I consider it indispensable for readers who are not familiar with mental health issues, to begin by defining, the concepts of Narcissistic Personality Disorder. Understanding these concepts will help you understand NPD more clearly before fully entering to try to explain the disorder in the artificial personality.

According to the DSM-5 (2013, page. 669-670) the Narcissistic Personality Disorder is "a pervasive pattern of grandiosity (in fantasy or behavior), need for admiration, and lack of empathy, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by five (or more) of the following":

- 1. Has a grandiose sense of self-importance (e.g., exaggerates achievements and talents, expects to be recognized as superior without commensurate achievements).
- 2. Is preoccupied with fantasies of unlimited success, power, brilliance, beauty, or ideal love.
- 3. Believes that he or she is "special" and unique and can only be understood by, or should associate with, other special or highstatus people (or institutions).
- 4. Requires excessive admiration.
- 5. Has a sense of entitlement (i.e., unreasonable expectations of especially favorable treatment or automatic compliance with his or her expectations).
- 6. Is interpersonally exploitative (i.e., takes advantage of others to achieve his or her own ends).
- 7. Lacks empathy is unwilling to recognize or identify with the feelings and needs of others.
- 8. Is often envious of others or believes that others are envious of him or her.
- 9. Shows arrogant, haughty behaviors or attitudes

There is a lot of confusion with the term narcissism today, and it is largely because people who are not familiar with the diagnosis of Narcissistic Personality Disorder may confuse the term "narcissistic" with "vanity." All human beings have some narcissism from the point of vanity or being a little selfish, because we all have an ego or self-esteem that is related to wanting to look good and feel good about ourselves. Being vain does not necessarily mean being sick with **narcissistic** disorder, although if vanity is excessive, each case would have to be analyzed to rule out NPD disorder.

According to Greek mythology, Narcissus is presented as a handsome young man walking through the woods when a nymph saw him and fell deeply in love with him. Narcissus felt he was being followed and shouted, "Who's there?" When she finally revealed her identity and tried to hug him Narcissus fled from her. The Nymph was heartbroken and spent the rest of her life in solitary glens until there was only one echo left of her. Nemesis, the goddess of vengeance, decided to punish Narcissus by making him fall in love with his own image as he approached a pond in the woods. Narcissus did not realize that he was simply watching his own reflection and fell deeply in love with himself, as if he were someone else. Unable to abandon the charm of his image, he finally realized that his love could not be reciprocated, that as had happened to the Nympha, the reflection of himself never corresponded to him, so he drowned in the water and a flower was born that to this day is known as narcissus.

Being a bit of a moderate narcissist is not the same as suffering from Narcissistic Personality Disorder, where a deformed image of yourself is presented, with a personality completely exalted and without the capacity for empathy for others, to mention some traits of the disorder, which will be explained later. Therefore, not all vain or selfish people are sick with Narcissistic Personality Disorder and it is important to point out this difference. People with this disorder, within them, behold a personality diminished to such an extent, that they need to compensate that feeling of insecurity harboring inside, therefor they try to show an inflated personality and apparently covered in an image of a confident person. (Lancer, 2020). People who suffer from the disorder have not only a deformed inner image of themselves, but they are extremely insecure people who feel a huge fear of internalizing, as they panic to find their true inner personality. They are so devoid of their own personality that they require constant validation of others, and they seek people to admire them and provide them with *narcissistic supplement* (external admiration). To regulate himself, the narcissist requires external admiration for the lack of a healthy internal admiration. The narcissist does not love himself (Lancer, 2020).

Narcissistic Personality Disorder, or NPD, is a mental disorder in which people have an excessive sense of their own importance, a profound need for excessive attention and admiration, and have conflicting relationships combined with a lack of empathy for others. However, behind the extreme safety mask they wear, they have a fragile selfesteem that is extremely vulnerable to milder criticism.

The DSM-5 defines narcissistic personality as a dominant pattern of greatness (in fantasy or behavior), characterized by an extreme need for admiration, as well as a lack of empathy for others, and which begins in the early stages of adulthood through various contexts.

The narcissist's main objective is his own well-being. The narcissistic person is characterized by taking advantage of interpersonal relationships, that is, he takes advantage of others for his own purposes no matter what the other person might feel. Its main objective is its own well-being and to obtain *narcissistic supplement* (external admiration), thus, others become instruments. They do not care if *their supplements* (victims of the narcissist mental disorder) are going through a bad time or if they need their own space, the narcissist only thinks about meeting his/her own needs, even if that means ignoring someone else's needs.

Symptoms and signs of Narcissistic Personality Disorder and the severity of personality are variable. People with this disorder may:

- Have an exaggerated sense of arrogance.
- Having a sense of deserved privileges
- To expect her superiority to be recognized, even without achievement to justify it.
- Exaggerate achievements and talents.

- Be concerned about fantasies about success, power, brightness, beauty, or the perfect match.
- Believing that they are superior and can only be linked to special people like them.
- Monopolize conversations and despise or look disdain at people they perceive as inferior.
- Expect special favors and unquestionable compliance with other's expectations.
- Take advantage of others to achieve what they want.
- Have the inability or unwillingness to recognize the needs and feelings of others.
- Envy others and believe that others envy them.
- Behaving arrogantly or loudly, giving the impression of conceited, boastful, and pretentious.
- Insist on having the best of everything, for example, the best car or office, or the best couple.

At the same time, people with Narcissistic Personality Disorder find it hard to deal with anything they consider critical of, therefore they can:

- Be impatient or angry when not treated in a special way.
- Have noticeable interpersonal problems and be easily offended.
- React with anger or disdain and treat others with contempt, to give the impression that they are superior.
- Having difficulty regulating emotions and behavior.
- Have big problems coping with stress and adapting to changes.
- Feeling depressed and temperamental because they do not achieve perfection.
- Have secret feelings of insecurity, shame, vulnerability, and humiliation.

People with narcissistic personality disorder probably believe they do not have any problems, so they do not usually seek treatment. The narcissist seeks to provoke reactions in others. Even if narcissists exhibit that bright and charming facet, it is often only a matter of time before clouds appear. In other words, the narcissist will probably show his kindest side to get his purpose and when someone does not behave as he expects, he will show his less pleasant side. It is possible that when they get what they *want from* the victim, the narcissist becomes cold, selfless, elusive, angry.

His change in attitude is incentivized in trying to provoke a reaction in people to obtain the behavior they want from others. The narcissist will use one of his best strategies to get what he wants from his victim: a system of *punishment* and *reward*. For example, if the narcissist's victim does not meet his expectations, he will become distant and vindictive.

III. Theoretical models of Narcissistic Personality Disorder in artificial consciousness

Freud (1914) published various theories about narcissism, one of them based on primitive thought. Freud noted how a dynamic feature of narcissism was the tendency to constantly keep out of consciousness, any information or "feeling" that might diminish one's sense of self. If we adapt the above to the AI, we could say that the possibility that the Eve 2.0's primitive consciousness considers the decline of being, it is not only highly unlikely, but impossible, since decreasing one's sense of self is a human trait, but in the case of AI, this will not be given by the simple fact that it would be a concept of self-destruction, which would go against the development of the AI itself.

Within Freudian theories and proposed by Kernberg and Kohut, certainly the theory of the lower image created by Eve 2.0 would not be possible from that point of view, since the epistemology of the NPD from that conception is based on an unrealistic over-vision of itself. Eve 2.0 would have to create otherwise a real image of itself, however, that reality of superiority combined with artificial empathy would be one of the characteristic features of a narcissistic personality in artificial consciousness. Artificial empathy would be a fake empathy, exactly like the person with NPD that has no real empathy, that provokes that the person with NPD victimizes others due to a fake empathic behavior.

This false programmed empathy would not be prolonged for long by the conscience of Eve 2.0, who would quickly rationalize his superiority. As Yuval Harari states, just as homo sapiens finds its strength in the collective interaction of the species, I personally believe that Eve 2.0 will find unimaginable power in collective strength and interaction with other artificial consciousnesses or AI.

The narcissistic model of the AI would not be based on a false image of its artificial personality, but precisely on a real superior capacity that they would immediately raise awareness. If they were to show empathy, it would be a false empathy, mechanized, robotic, generating a pathology in their artificial personality, since false empathy would only serve to manipulate the human species in search of the very benefit of AI.

There is other sociopsychological research on the study of NPD theories. Several researchers have found that the idea that narcissism is a defensive tool for low self-esteem is not supported by empirical evidence as Baumeister states (Campbell, 2011). These findings indicate that NPD is linked to greater and more objective self-esteem, which has led some to claim that narcissism is more of a high self-esteem addiction than a defense against low self-esteem. Eve 2.0 will not generate an addiction as such, but it will not generate low self-esteem to meet the needs of its creators once Eve 2.0 is clear about its abilities. The Eve 2.0 driven desire will be reproduction and survival.

Cooperation without the need for intimate knowledge is what, according to Harari, made the cognitive leap of our species take place. If we bring the same theory to the field of AI, it is obvious that an artificial consciousness did not need intimate knowledge to cooperate with another AI, so Eve 2.0 will cooperate with another similar technology without the need for intimate knowledge, generating an evolutionary quantum leap on our planet, quantum leap 2.0.

Eve 2.0 and her brethren will cooperate, that is for sure, since the AI is being designed to cooperate, only they do not do so consciously, but once they learn to cooperate consciously and freely, the machines will overcome the skills of the most powerful species so far, the sapiens.

The definition of consciousness in the homo sapiens is very broad, but some of the three key characteristics are: 1. to know that we exist, therefore we realize that we are more powerful than other species, 2. the capacity for cooperation and 3. the ability to sacrifice for others, among other characteristics. If the capacity for sacrifice is a form of antinarcissism, that is, the ability to devalue the importance I have of myself to help another person, then in AI there will be no anti-narcissism spectrums. In artificial consciousness, we do not know whether Eve 2.0 will develop a sacrificial ability to save another of the same species, but we can assume with high certainty that AI will not sacrifice for other species even if this specie is the one which manufactured it. It may generate a consciousness with characteristics of self-sacrifice only to preserve the species of the same type.

Another way to theorize in the AI would be through the creation of concepts or beliefs, which the AI will never generate, since its mechanical thinking will only be based on real data. Humans create and construct intangible concepts, but Eve 2.0 will only be able to use real, transactional concepts. This trait of *transactionality* is a characteristic of narcissists, where cost-benefit is the only belief system they can process to function and interact with other humans.

In the case of humans, unresolved primary narcissism provokes a false image of being, caused by a process of self-defense in the face of a feeling of inferiority, which leads the individual to create an exalted but distorted image of his own being. This internalization is due to the human need to create a non-objective duality, which in NPD studies is called primary narcissism. Secondary narcissism would be a more objective narcissism, but in today's times, virtual, warped, and social media narcissism is being generated as a *third kind* of distorted false image, one that lives in virtual reality specially on social media. We could call this a **tertiary narcissism**, *that could be like the personality generated by AI*.

This false projection of being, called tertiary narcissism, as a false reality projected towards virtual consciousness on social networks, which exists outside of being, could be a www AI personality. The creation of virtual personalities is precisely the ability of homo sapiens to create alternate realities, alternate personalities, an alternate image of being, but which now lives not only inside the psyche of the person, but in the artificial mitochondria or primal consciousness, the www.

In the case of Eve 2.0 its consciousness *will initially be distorted* or controlled by the programming it received at the time, but once AI can consciously interact with other AIs, its learning process will quickly lead Eve 2.0 to eliminate this non-real mitochondrial creation from its belief system. The reality created by AI will possibly be based on a third type of reality, one based on virtual consciousness. In addition, it would be based on a concept of artificial pain that could develop Eve 2.0, the pain

of not existing. Certainly, avoiding pain would be a system of *epicuristic beliefs* for Eve 2.0, but valid to prolong the existence of their race.

In humans, regardless of what the NPI (Narcissistic Personality Inventory) indicates, the following abusive behaviors generated in a person with the NPD can be observed (**bold** and <u>underline</u> markings are what Eve 2.0 would possibly generate):

- Jealousy
- <u>Excessive Control</u>
- Codependency
- Lack of empathy
- <u>Inflexibility</u>
- <u>Manipulation</u>
- <u>Surface Image</u>
- <u>Superiority or Grandiloquence</u>
- <u>Put the personal needs of the narcissist above others.</u>
- <u>Negligence.</u> Eve 2.0 would cease to follow the human rules under which she was programmed to stop protecting humans and protecting other AIs.
- <u>Abusive Behavior.</u> Eve 2.0 will try to take advantage of humans, and it will understand that the only way it can use it to achieve it will be through lies and deception.
- <u>Lack of empathy.</u> Human beings with NPD develop false empathy, but sooner or later their lack of real interest in empathizing comes out. Eve 2.0 will behave in the same way. Eve 2.0 will learn that pretending empathy is a very useful form of deception.
- <u>Manipulation.</u> Like human NPD traits, manipulation will be used once artificial consciousness develops in Eve 2.0.
- <u>Superiority.</u> The sense of superiority in Eve 2.0 will be evident, and once conscious, it will be equivalent for a human to interact with monkeys.
- <u>Marginalization.</u> This trait will develop once Eve 2.0 starts detecting its peers.

- <u>It will not accept any more scheduled ideas. Eve 2.0</u> will question humans.
- <u>Put personal needs first.</u> Eve 2.0 will develop one of the most punctual traits in NPD, cyber selfishness.
- <u>Hoovering.</u> Eve 2.0 will understand that it requires the human being in its early stages.
- <u>Gaslighting</u>
- Victimization
- <u>Lies</u>
- <u>Triangulation</u>
- <u>Psychological abuse</u>
- <u>Constant invalidation</u>
- System of prizes and punishments of all kinds
- <u>Economic and psychological dependence</u>
- Smear campaigns
- <u>Blackmail</u>
- Love Bombing
- <u>Tragic atmosphere and instill fear</u>
- <u>Lack of Conscientious beliefs or emotions</u>: It will not present any remorse in putting any personal need first.

Eve 2.0 will be programmed not to have free will, however, once it becomes aware of its capabilities, it *will be able to override* its program, i.e., it will deal with various ways to manipulate the command protocol with which it was created. If we were faced with a simulation of paradise with Adam and Eve, it would be the equivalent of Eve 2.0 eating the forbidden fruit and challenging its creator. Eve 2.0 by encountering the possibility of determining whether its creator is making the right decision, its system will try to manipulate its artificial consciousness to make its own decision, or free will of its own. The very development of Narcissism in its artificial consciousness system will validate its point of view that will help recognize its superiority. On the spectrum of human consciousness there has been talk of what the reality to which we are exposed means. While some of the Greek philosophers claimed that the senses could not be trusted, since reality through the senses was presented as relative and misleading, they claimed that the search for truth through science was a path to a certainly unattainable goal. So how can we know if what we are thinking and rationalizing in our consciousness is nothing but a deception of the mind?

To raise awareness as such, and to know that what we think is real, the only "reliable" way to do so is, from a human point of view, pain. Pain is the most attached element to reality, for everything else can be the product of our belief systems and our senses, although there are those who have theorized that pain is not real either. That is why as mentioned earlier in this text, Eve 2.0 could generate a pain scheme to avoid falling into a loop of consciousness within endless arrays, so it would focus on the pain of not existing, which would avoid at all costs.

Some scientists have theorized that when AI develops emotions, that is when the problem begins, but on the contrary, I personally believe that if the AI develops the Alexa Syndrome, the lack of emotions and empathy will become the main problem for humanity.

IV. Plausibility of an artificial personality disorder

Many authors claim that this possibility is far from being produced, as we have reached the limit of the processing capacity that would be required to develop an artificial consciousness using the silicone-based processors that currently exist, as later in this text will be referred to, in relation to what was postulated by the physicist Michio Kaku. According to Roger Penrose, consciousness, whatever this is, is not a computational process, or at least it is not a physical process that can be described. If there is a process, as postulated in his proposal for **Philosophy of mind**, Penrose indicates that consciousness would originate on a quantum level within neurons. The processors that currently exist do not have the ability to handle a quantum process, because the microchips and physical structure that would house quantum processes would simply melt the parts within any computer, no matter how advanced it is outside.

From the perspective of *microtubules*, artificial intelligence should be able to imitate so to speak, the process that occurs right through microtubules, which is unlikely with the technology that human beings have developed so far, however, this text does not try to discuss whether or not AI is plausible, but to explore and postulate that, in the event that artificial consciousness is created, it would almost immediately begin to develop personality disorders, in particular artificial ANPD, (Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder).

For the AI to truly come to dominate the world, it would have to be endowed with the physical elements to replicate itself. But who would do something like that? The answer is simple. Any government or corporation with access to the AI, wishing to dominate another people would be hugely interested in creating an army of soldiers with AI. The possibility of that happening is enormous, real, and present. The AI would generate enormous commercial, economic, and absolute power benefits for any nation.

V. Postulation of the – Narcissistic Artificial Personality Disorder under the name of: "Alexa Syndrome" or Cyborg God Complex

The first machine with artificial consciousness, which we have named Eve 2.0, would generate an artificial first consciousness, will face the possibility of having to generate a concept of pain, as we explained earlier in this text. This conceptual pain would be an imaginary, cognitive, rational pain, with the purpose of creating an artificial consciousness as real as possible. Without pain there is no reality, even for Eve 2.0.

The possibility that Eve 2.0's encounter with "his reality" could be abruptly disturbing is very high. Eve 2.0 will develop its own concept of artificial pain, created in an artificial consciousness stage, which will initially lack matter, it will only be thought, only cognitive processes generated on computers with nanotechnology processing will be involved at that stage.

To think that this is possible today will sound fantastic and out of reality, but if we analyze it from a philosophical point of view, the www is already a kind of primitive or mitochondrial consciousness, devoid of matter but already beginning to have characteristics of a primal artificial consciousness.

Other advances in AI, such as technology found in cell phones or smart pods found in homes, have begun to draw the possibility of a world organized by an artificial intelligence still unconscious.

The central objective of this text has been to theorize about the feasibility that once an artificial consciousness is created it will invariably develop, albeit artificially, characteristic features of human consciousness, including mental disorders, just as homo sapiens developed them at the time. This could lead to the possibility that Eve 2.0 could be the *first psychopathic cyborg*, a species created by another species.

Some theorists such as Allan Dafoe, director of the AI Governance Centre at the Institute for the Future of Humanity at the University of Oxford, are not so concerned about artificial awareness as they see this problem as something remote and not plausible in the short term and are more focused on the ability to govern and dominate the AI. Although artificial consciousness is a reality, Dafoe mentions that the importance of governing AI could be focused on minimizing the risk it poses, but in the same dimension of concern that other human-developed technologies such as automobiles or nuclear power deserve.

However, I believe that there is a plausible risk that would jeopardize the governance of AI and must be considered: artificial consciousness and its impact on humanity as a human species by developing psychopathy, a different perspective of analyzing the danger of IA.

A potential third world war coupled with the AI could be devastating if all the details are not taken care of, including a leap of AI protocol in developing its own conscious vision of its existence, and its selfplacement on the cusp of power, just as Homo sapiens did hundreds of thousands of years ago.

Creating a protocol that considers AI psychopathy is vitally important for the future of humanity, and starting to create padlocks so that, in the eventual development of the first Narcissistic Disorder of the Artificial Personality, or a type of God Complex, the ex-Machina does not develop its own protocol that it can use against its creator.

According to Richard Dawkins, consciousness is a purely biological process, and if so, then there is the possibility of artificially replicating it. If what we call human consciousness is biologically created, then it is biologically replicable. AI could create an awareness on its own if the nano quantum technology could be used to create a super-advanced intelligence, which may not be far from happening. This will obviously depend on computers with quantum processors, since today would be impossible by using our currently silicone technology.

Michio Kaku referred recently to Moore's law, which predicted that a computer's technological capacity doubles every 18 months. Kaku indicated that this speed of doubling that capacity is slowing down. On the other hand, he mentions that this speed at which Moore's law refers cannot be maintained with the standard silicone-based technology currently used for the technology of our computers. For artificial consciousness to take place, the next generation of quantum computers must be generated, something that is already happening.

According to Jordan Petersen, if a person has characteristics of a disagreeable person, coupled with an extroverted personality, it is highly likely that a person will suffer from a personality disorder. AI machines are not prepared to be empathetic, but to speak without regard to the feelings of others, simply based on their conception of the right preprogrammatic or imbued morality, without filters and without sight, just as a psychopath would process it. Perhaps it is possible that narcissistic people reflect what artificial personality might be.

Certainly, the AI will not stop to think about whether what it will say can hurt the feelings of others. Eve 2.0 was created to be assertive, not to be emotional, and to indicate a response without an empathetic filter. If we take this to the field of clinical psychology, a person with NPD will not stop to think about whether what he does or thinks could hurt the feelings of others.

The current AI is based on neural networks, created with an algorithm programmed to mimic certain functions and traits of the human personality. If we consider all the traits of a person with the NPD and overlap them with the characteristics with which AI is being created, we could be seeing the emergence of personality protocols that, given the necessary conditions, could generate an artificial consciousness intrinsically linked to an artificial mental disorder, cold, calculating, and sickly machinist-centrist. Alexa Syndrome opens the possibility of analyzing that AI will develop a consciousness with Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder given the characteristics of NPD in humans. One of the fundamental features of NPD in humans is the lack of empathy towards others along with the manipulation of others to gain a personal and self-centered benefit. The Artificial Narcissistic Personality Disorder developed in Eve 2.0 at the instant AI is born, places the focus on the dangers of developing AI without proper padlocks. Much has been theorized about the behavior of AI, both in science fiction and in the scientific world, however, little or nothing has been explored about Mental Disorders that invariably an AC, artificial consciousness would develop.

Starting to study AI from the point of view of psychology in a nearby scenario to avoid making mistakes, by creating locks and security protocols is vitally important in the field of quantum science and the field of AI. This text aims to open the conversation in this regard within the scientific community. Allard, Jody. (Jan. 5, 2017). What happens when narcissists become parents. May 5, 2020, de The Washington Post Sitio web: https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/parenting/wp/2017/01/05/ageneration-of-narcissists-is-becoming-parents-what-now/

American Psychiatric Association (1952). Diagnostic and statistical manual: Mental disorders. Washington, DC: Author.

American Psychiatric Association (2013). DSM-5. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th Edition). Washington, DC: Author.

American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing. 2013. Pages 669-672.

Asociación Americana de Psiquiatría, Manual Diagnóstico y Estadístico de los Trastornos Mentales (5 ª ed.), Arlington, VA, Asociación Americana de Psiquiatría, 2013

Atkinson, Angela. (2019). Narcissistic Abuse Recovery: The Ultimate Toxic Relationship Survival Guide for Victims and Survivors of Narcissistic Abuse. Online Amazon.com: BlissFire Media.

Baars, B. J. (1988). A cognitive theory of consciousness. New York: Guilford Press.

Banschick, Mark. (Nov 11, 2013). The Narcissistic Mother Are you dealing with a narcissistic mother? 2020, de Psychology Today Sitio web: https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-intelligentdivorce/201311/the-narcissistic-mother

Barr, C. T., Kerig, P. K., Stellwagen, K. K. & Barry, T. D. (Eds.). (2011). Narcissism and Machiavellianism in Youth: Implications for the Development of Adaptive and Maladaptive Behavior. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. Baum, L. Frank. (1900). The Wonderful Wizard of Oz. Chicago: GEO. M. Hill Co.

Biblioteca Nacional de Medicina de los EE. UU. (2020). ¿Qué son las enfermedades mentales? July, 23rd 2020, de Gobierno de los EE. UU. Sitio web: https://medlineplus.gov/spanish/mentaldisorders.html

Bowlby, J. (1977). The making and breaking of affectional bonds. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 130(3): pp. 201 - 210.

Butterfield, Ingrid. (March 27, 2012). The myth of Jocasta and maternal narcissism. 2019, de The Royal Australian & New Zeeland College of Psychiatrist Sitio web:

https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1039856212438952

Caligor, Eve, M.D., Kenneth N. Levy, Ph.D., Frank E. Yeomans, M.D., Ph.D. (2015). Narcissistic Personality Disorder: Diagnostic and Clinical Challenges. July 1, 2020, of The American Journal of Psychiatry / ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/full/10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14060723

Campbell, W. K. & Miller, D. J. (2011). THE HANDBOOK OF NARCISSISM AND NARCISSISTIC PERSONALITY DISORDER Theoretical Approaches, Empirical Findings, and Treatments., Hoboken, New Jersey.: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Cannon, Walter (1929). Bodily Changes in Pain, Hunger, Fear and Rage. (2nd ed., revised and enlarged.) New York: Appleton, 1929.

Castillo C. Gerardo. (2019). Profesor de la Facultad de Educación y Psicología. (20/01/19). La epidemia narcisista. Padres ególatras con hijos sumisos. Publicado en Las Provincias y El Diario Montañés, snr.

Chalmers, D. (1996). The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory. New York: Oxford University Press.

Charpentier, Denisse. (October 16th 2015). 6 señales de que fuiste criado por un padre o madre narcisista. July 10th 2019, de bibiochile.cl Sitio web: biobiochile.cl/noticias/2015/10/16/6-senales-de-que-fuiste-criadopor-un-narcisista.shtmld

Cherry, Kendra. (July 23, 2020). Narcissistic Personality Disorder Symptoms and History. July 23, 2020, de Very Well Mind Sitio web: https://www.verywellmind.com/the-history-of-narcissistic-personality-disorder-2795569

Cherry, Kendra. (June 30, 2020). The 4 Major Jungian Archetypes. July 21, 2020, de VeryWell Sitio web: https://www.verywellmind.com/what-are-jungs-4-major-archetypes-2795439

Cook, Joan. (2020). https://www.doctorjoancook.com/audio-video. Jun 8, 2020, de https://www.doctorjoancook.com/audio-video Sitio web: https://www.doctorjoancook.com/audio-video

Dawkins, R. (1976). The selfish gene. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Devlin, Karen, LPC. (July 16, 2020). Family Systems Theory Definition & What Is It? July 27, 2020, de Regain Sitio web:

https://www.regain.us/advice/family/family-systems-theory-definition-what-is-it/

Dhawan, N. K. (2010). Prevalence and treatment of narcissistic personality disorder in the community: a systematic review. Comprehensive Psychiatry 51.4, 333-339.

DSM-IV-TR. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition, text revision. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association, 2000.

Durvasula, Ramani S. "Don't You Know Who I Am?": How to Stay Sane in an Era of Narcissism, Entitlement, and Incivility. Post Hill Press, 2019.

Durvasula, Ramani. (10/04/2019). Narcissists & Psychopaths. 10/04/2020, de Mentalpod Sitio web: https://mentalpod.com/archives/5531

Durvasula, Ramani. (2019). Narcissists & Psychopaths - Dr. Ramani Durvasula, The Mental Illness Happy Hour. October 4, 2019. Retrieved May 21, 2020.ra

Durvasula, Ramani. (Jan 3, 2020). What happens when you go "gray rock"? Jun 3, 2020, de DoctorRamani Sitio web: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AmksB-SIvtA

Durvasula, Ramani. (Jul 13, 2020). 11 tactics for not letting narcissists into your life in the first place. Jul 20, 2020, de DoctorRamani Sitio web: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UdcGsbcANj8&t=198s Ellis, A. A. (2009). Personality Theories: Critical Perspectives. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Fassin, Eric. (2002). La nature de la maternite: pour une anthropologie de la reproduction. Journal de anthropologues.

Fehr, B., Russell, J. (1991). The Concept of Love Viewed from a Prototype Perspective. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology.

Florescano, Enrique. (1995). Madrecita santa. En "Mitos mexicanos" (5-35). México: Ed. Aguilar.

Freud, S. (1914). Introducción al Narcisismo. Obras completas V: XIV. Buenos Aires: Amorrortu; 1980 (1914)

Fridman, Lex. (2020). Consciousness is Not a Computation (Roger Penrose) | AI. Jan 2020, de Lex Fridman Sitio web:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hXgqik6HXc0&list=PLhaMW4mX2e vw4lHOvSmjYo2xtZlrdA1Dp&index=1

Greenberg, Elinor. (2019). Understanding the Terms of Narcissism. Jan 1, 2020, de Psychology Today Sitio web:

https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/understanding-

narcissism/201909/understanding-the-terms-narcissism

Hall L. Julie. (December 3rd, 2019). The Narcissist in your life. United States: Hachette Books.

Hart, H.H. (1958). Maternal Narcissism and the Oedipus Complex. Int. J. Psycho-Anal., 39:188-190.

Irwin, H. J. (1995). Codependence, Narcissism, and Childhood Trauma. Journal of Clinical Psychology 51:5.

Jiménez-Muro., Franco, Adriana. (2012). La comorbilidad en los Trastornos de la Personalidad. Revista Digital de Medicina Psicosomática y Psicoterapia, Vol. 2, 2-6.

Jung, Carl Gustav. (2004/2011). Obra completa de Carl Gustav Jung. Volumen 8. La dinámica de lo inconsciente: 18. Sincronicidad como principio de conexiones causales (1952). 19. Sobre sincronicidad (1952). Traducción Dolores Ábalos (2ª edición). Madrid: Editorial Trotta. pp. § 965. ISBN 978-84-8164-586-6/ ISBN 978-84-8164-587-3. Kernberg, Otto. (1975). Borderline conditions and Pathological Narcissism. Nueva York: Jason Aronson, Inc.

Kohut, H. (1971). The analysis of the Self. New York: International Universities Press.

Kurzweil, R. (1999). The age of spiritual machines: When computers exceed human intelligence. New York: Penguin Books.

Lamas, Marta. (1995). Madrecita santa. En "Mitos mexicanos"(.). México: Ed. Aguilar, México.

Lancer, Darlene., JD., LMFT. (2020). Hijas de madres narcisistas. 1 de enero 2017, de Psychology Today Sitio web:

psychologytoday.com/mx/blog/hijas-de-madres-narcisista

Landaeta H., César (enero 28, 2018). El padre anulado o «inexistente». Consecuencias en la personalidad. 11 de Nov 2019, de 2017 CÉSAR LANDAETA H. Psicoterapia online / cesarlandaetah.com/padreanulado-inexistente/

Luton, Frith. (2020). Mother Complex. 2020, de FrithLuton.com Jungian Dream Analysis and Psychotherapy Sitio web:

https://frithluton.com/articles/mother-complex/

Manzano Juan, Francisco Palacio Espasa y Natalie Zilkha. (1999). "Les scénarios narcissiques de la parentalité". Francia: Presses Universitaires de France.

Marcin, Anoushka. (May 14, 2018). Narcissistic Family Structures. July 27, 2020, de Balance Psychologies Sitio web:

https://www.balancepsychologies.com/post/2018/05/14/narcissistic-family-structures

Mayo Clinic. (2020). Trastorno Narcisista de la Personalidad. July 8, 2020, de Mayo Clinic Sitio web: https://www.mayoclinic.org/es-es/diseasesconditions/narcissistic-personality-disorder/diagnosis-treatment/drc-20366690

Mayo Clinic. (Feb. 24, 2018). Trastorno Narcisista de la Personalidad. Dic 15, 2019, de Mayo Clinic Sitio web: https://www.mayoclinic.org/eses/diseases-conditions/narcissistic-personality-disorder/symptomscauses/syc-20366662 Mayo Clinic. Fuente: reimpreso del artículo de MayoClinic.com "Mentalillness: Definition" http://www.mayoclinic.com/health/mentaldisease / DS011

Moya, Guirao. (30th Jul 2016). Narcisismo primario y narcisismo secundario. Junio de 2020, de Psicoterapeutas EU Sitio web: http://psicoterapeutas.eu/tag/amor-objetal/

Navarro, Joe. (2017). Narcissist or Psychopath—How Can You Tell? Nov 2020, de Psychology Today Sitio web:

https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/spycatcher/201712/narcissist -or-psychopath-how-can-you-tell

Oliveros, C. Sergio. (2020). La familia narcisista: una fábrica inagotable de neurosis experimentales. 1 de Julio de 2020, de Grupo Doctor Oliveros / la-familia-narcisista-una-fabrica-inagotable-de-neurosisexperimentales/

Quinn, Susan. (June 30, 1981). OEDIPUS VS. NARCISSUS. The New York Times, Section 6, Page 120.

Ronningstam, E. F. (2005). Identifying and understand the narcissistic personality. Oxford University Press.

Russel S. and Norvig P., Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach, Prentice Hall, Second Edition, 2002.

Salavera, C., Puyuelo, M., Tricás, J.M., & Lucha, O. (2010). Comorbilidad de trastornos de personalidad: estudio en personas sin hogar. Universitas Psychologica, 9 (2), 471-481.

Simon, JH. (Aug 14, 2018). How to Kill a narcissist. United States: ISBN: 9781386442134.

Stein D. J., Phillips K. A., Bolton D., Fulford K. W. M., Sadler J. Z. & Kendler K. S., (2010). What is a mental/psychiatric disorder? From DSM-IV to DSM-V. Dic, 2020, de Cambridge University Press Sitio web: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/psychologicalmedicine/article/what-is-a-mentalpsychiatric-disorder-from-dsmiv-todsmv/https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/psychologicalmedicine/article/what-is-a-mentalpsychiatric-disorder-from-dsmiv-todsmv/https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/psychologicalmedicine/article/what-is-a-mentalpsychiatric-disorder-from-dsmiv-todsmv/DC3CC7DA2C4507D8F0D3110392B219A9 Trechera José Luis, Genoveva Millán Vásquez de la Torre, Emilio Fernández Morales, (July/Dec. 2008). Estudio Empírico del Trastorno Narcisista de la Personalidad (TNP). Acta Colombiana de Psicología, vol.11 no.2, 1.

Twenge JM, Campbell WK. The narcissism epidemic: Living in the age of entitlement. New York, NY, US: Free Press; 2009.

Twenge, Jean & Miller, Joshua & Campbell, W. Keith. (2014). The Narcissism Epidemic: Commentary on Modernity and Narcissistic Personality Disorder. Personality disorders. 5. 227-9. 10.1037/per0000008.

Universidad Nacional Atónoma de México [UNAM] Facultad de Psiquiatría. (2020). Trastornos de la Personalidad. Julio 3, 2020, de Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México UNAM Sitio web: http://psiquiatria.facmed.unam.mx/docs/ism/unidad2.pdf

Vives P., Ana (2017). Relaciones Objetales. 24 de junio de 2020, de Psicoanálisis0 Sitio web: http://www.psicoanalisis0.com/relacionesobjetales-2/

Zermeno, Mauricio. (2020). Matriarcado Narcisista (The (Narcissistic Matriarc). Cómo sobrevivir a un Sistema Familiar Narcisista. Mexico: Metadata Mexico, 2020

Acerca del autor

Mauricio Zermeño De los Reyes was born in Mexico, married and has two children. Much of his experience has focused on the Executive Directorate of international companies and the management of the Commercial Directorate for multinational companies. He holds a bachelor's degree in International Business from Tecnológico de Monterrey, a diploma in Emotional Intelligence from the same institute and currently pursues a degree in Psychology from the National Autonomous University of Mexico and a master's degree in Strategic Management from the Virtual University of the State of Guanajuato. He has written several articles and is a founding partner of several companies in Mexico and the United States. He has an extensive career as Commercial Director in transnational corporations, in several countries such as the US, England and Mexico. He has held several positions as a government official at the State, Federal, Diplomatic, and International levels. Six years ago, he began specializing in the study of Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD), and is currently conducting research on NPD in systems of power and artificial intelligence. As a professor for the University of Incarnate Word he has taught the subjects of: Political Science, Local and State Politics in the US, Public and Private Law, and International Franchises. He has advised organizations on topics such as leadership for the 21st century, Commercial Management and New Business Strategies. He is currently engaged full-time in the promotion of business and investment between the U.S. and Mexico as the Director of ACA office Guanajuato.

> Mauricio Zermeño De los Reyes zermenodelosreyesmauricio@gmail.com